# Spline Approximation Operators of Bernstein–Schoenberg Type in One and Two Variables

T. N. T. GOODMAN

Department of Mathematics. The University, Dundee DD1 4HN, Scotland

AND

S. L. LEE

School of Mathematical Sciences, Science University of Malaysia, Penang, Malaysia

> Communicated by Carl de Boor Received October 27, 1980

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In [13], Schoenberg introduced the spline operators

$$V_k(f; \cdot) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(\xi_i) N(\cdot \mid t_i, ..., t_{i+k})$$
(1.1)

which reproduce linear functions and are variation-diminishing. They also have the shape-preserving properties of Bernstein polynomials to which they reduce with appropriate choice of knots  $(t_i)$ . The approximation properties of these operators were further investigated by Marsden and Schoenberg [9] and Marsden [10].

More recently C. de Boor |1| highlighted the geometric interpretation of *B*-splines due to Curry and Schoenberg |3| and extended this to give a definition of *B*-splines in higher dimensions. Subsequently C. A. Micchelli |11| and W. Dahmen |5| obtained some analytic properties of these *B*-splines together with some recurrence relations. In |4| Dahmen constructed a class of these *B*-splines whose linear span contains all polynomials of appropriate degree.

In this paper we shall use the geometric definition of B-splines to construct spline approximation operators of type (1.1) in one and two dimensions. In the case of one dimension we allow different orderings of the knots for the B-splines in (1.1). The main tool will be a generalisation of an identity of

Marsden [10] which we prove in one dimension in Section 2 and in two dimensions in Section 5. In [4] Dahmen has given a different generalisation of Marsden's identity and our proof is similar to his, both using elementary geometrical methods. Our identities differ from Dahmen's in using a triangulation of a simplex rather than a cube, and producing a single identity involving 2 parameters (in 2 dimensions) rather than a class of identities involving a single parameter. These allow us, in the one- and two-dimensional cases considered, to find simple, explicit formulas for the B-spline coefficients in the identities.

In Section 3 we study the variation-diminishing property of the operators in one dimension, while in Sections 4 and 6 we prove convergence results for the operators in one and two dimensions, respectively. These operators reduce to Bernstein polynomials when restricted to a triangular domain with appropriate choice of triangulation, as is shown in Section 7. An important feature of these spline operators is that they are defined on any polygonal domain in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and not restricted to triangles or squares.

# 2. A GEOMETRIC PROOF OF A GENERALISED MARSDEN'S IDENTITY

We first introduce the *B*-splines defined in [1]. For  $0 < s \le k$ , and  $\delta$  a *k*-simplex in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , we define

$$M_{\delta}(x) := \operatorname{vol}_{k-s}(\{v \in \delta : pv = x\}), \qquad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{s}.$$
(2.1)

where  $\operatorname{vol}_d$  means *d*-dimensional volume, and  $p: \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^s$  denotes the projection  $pv := (v_i)_{i=1}^s$ . Then  $M_\delta$  is a piecewise polynomial function of degree  $\leqslant k - s$  with compact support in  $\mathbb{R}^s$ . If  $\delta$  has vertices  $v^0, ..., v^k$  and  $x^i = pv^i$ , then it is known [5, 11] that  $M_\delta(x)/\operatorname{vol}_k \delta$  depends only on  $x^0, ..., x^k$  and so we can define the *B*-spline

$$M(x \mid x^0, ..., x^k) := M_{\delta}(x) / \operatorname{vol}_k \delta, \qquad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^s.$$
(2.2)

Now take k > 1 and let  $\Delta_{k-1}$  denote the standard (k-1)-simplex in  $\mathbb{R}^{k-1}$  with vertices the standard vectors

$$e^{i} := (\delta_{ij})_{i=1}^{k-1}, \qquad i = 0, ..., k-1.$$
 (2.3)

Let  $\Delta = \{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be a triangulation of  $[0, 1] \times \Delta_{k-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  such that each vertex lies on one of the edges  $\mathscr{F}_j = \{(x, e^j) : x \in [0, 1]\}, j = 0, ..., k-1$ . For i = 1, ..., n, we denote the vertices of  $\delta_i$  by  $v^{i0}, ..., v^{ik}$  and their projection on the  $x_1$ -axis by  $t_{i0}, ..., t_{ik}$ . Note that for any simplex  $\delta_i$ , each edge  $\mathscr{F}_j$ , j = 0, ..., k-1, contains at least one of the vertices of  $\delta_i$  and hence there is

exactly one such edge containing exactly two vertices of  $\delta_i$ . We shall denote these two vertices by  $v^{i0}$  and  $v^{ik}$ , where  $t_{i0} < t_{ik}$ .

Now for i = 1, ..., n we define a normalised *B*-spline of degree k - 1 on [0, 1] by

$$N_i := (k-1)! M_{\delta_i}.$$
 (2.4)

We wish to calculate  $\operatorname{vol}_k \delta_i$ . If  $v^{i0}$  and  $v^{ik}$  lie on  $\mathscr{F}_1$ , then  $\operatorname{vol}_k \delta_i$  is the absolute value of

	1	1	•••	1
1	$v_{1}^{i_{0}}$	$v_1^{i1}$	•••	$v_1^{ik}$
k!	÷	÷		÷
	$v_k^{i0}$	$v_k^{i1}$	•••	$v_k^{ik}$

which, after reordering  $v^{i_1}$ ,...,  $v^{i(k-1)}$  if necessary, equals the absolute value of

$$\frac{1}{k!} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ t_{i0} & t_{ik} & t_{i1} & t_{i2} & t_{i3} & \cdots & t_{i(k-1)} \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & 1 \\ & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & 1 \end{vmatrix}$$

Thus

$$\operatorname{vol}_k \delta_i = \frac{1}{k!} (t_{ik} - t_{i0}).$$
 (2.5)

A similar calculation shows that (2.5) also holds when  $v^{i0}$ ,  $v^{ik}$  lie on  $\mathscr{F}_j$ ;  $j \neq 1$ . Then by (2.2), (2.4), (2.5),

$$N_{i} = \frac{1}{k} (t_{ik} - t_{i0}) M(\cdot \mid t_{i0}, ..., t_{ik}).$$
(2.6)

Thus  $N_i$  depends only on  $t_{i0}, ..., t_{ik}$ , and we may write

$$N_i = N(\cdot \mid t_{i0}, ..., t_{ik}).$$

We have the following generalisation of Marsden's identity.

250

THEOREM 2.1. For any  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ ,

$$(y-x)^{k-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} (y-t_{ij}) \right\} N_i(x).$$
 (2.7)

*Proof.* Take any y > 1 and let  $\Delta_k^y$  denote the k-simplex in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  with vertices  $(y, e^0), (0, e^i), i = 0, ..., k - 1$ . Let  $P := \{(x_1, ..., x_k) \in \Delta_k^y: 0 \le x_1 \le 1\}$ . A simple geometric argument shows  $P = \{T_y v: v \in [0, 1] \times \Delta_{k-1}\}$ , where for  $(x, z) \in [0, 1] \times \Delta_{k-1}, T_y(x, z) = (x, (y - x)z/y)$ . For i = 1, ..., n, let  $\delta_i^y$  denote the simplex  $[T_y v^{i0}, ..., T_y v^{ik}]$ , where [T] denotes the convex hull of the set T. Then  $\Delta^y := \{\delta_i^y\}_{i=1}^n$  is a triangulation of P. By a calculation similar to that for (2.5),

$$\operatorname{vol}_{k} \delta_{\mathcal{F}}^{y} = \frac{1}{k!} \left( t_{ik} - t_{i0} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \left( \frac{y - t_{ij}}{y} \right).$$
(2.8)

Now for each x in [0, 1], the hyperplane  $\{(x_1, ..., x_k): x_1 = x\}$  intersects P in the (k - 1)-simplex  $\sigma_x$  with vertices  $T_y(x, e^j), j = 0, ..., k - 1$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{(k-1)!} \left(\frac{y-x}{y}\right)^{k-1} = \operatorname{vol}_{k-1}\sigma_x$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n M_{\delta_i^y}(x) \quad \text{by} \quad (2.1)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{vol}_k \delta_i^y M(x \mid t_{i0}, ..., t_{ik}) \quad \text{by} \quad (2.2)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{y-t_{ij}}{y}\right) \right\} N_i(x)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (2.6), (2.8)$$

which gives (2.7).

COROLLARY. For k > 2 and i = 1, ..., n let

$$\xi_i = \frac{1}{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} t_{ij}, \qquad (2.9)$$

$$\xi_i^{(2)} = \frac{2}{(k-1)(k-2)} \sum_{0 \le l \le m \le n} t_{il} t_{im}.$$
 (2.10)

Then for all x in [0, 1],

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_i(x), \qquad (2.11)$$

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i N_i(x),$$
 (2.12)

$$x^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}^{(2)} N_{i}(x).$$
(2.13)

*Proof.* In (2.7) equate coefficients of  $y^{k-1}$ ,  $y^{k-2}$ ,  $y^{k-3}$ , respectively.

*Remark.* Suppose  $0 = t_1 = \cdots = t_k < t_{k+1} \le \cdots \le t_n < t_{n+1} = \cdots = t_{n+k} = 1$ , and for i = 1, ..., n,  $t_i = \cdots = t_{i+j} \Rightarrow j < k$ . Then the following triangulation  $\Delta$  gives rise to the usual *B*-splines of Curry and Schoenberg [3] and the usual Marsden's identity [10]:

$$\begin{split} \delta_1 &= [(t_1, e^0), (t_2, e^1), \dots, (t_k, e^{k-1}), (t_{1+k}, e^0)], \\ \delta_2 &= [(t_2, e^1), (t_3, e^2), \dots, (t_{1+k}, e^0), (t_{2+k}, e^1)], \\ \vdots \\ \delta_n &= [(t_n, e^l), (t_{n+1}, e^{l+1}), \dots, (t_{n+k}, e^l)], \end{split}$$

where  $l \equiv n - 1 \pmod{k}$ .

We shall refer to this triangulation as the *usual triangulation*. In this case the normalised *B*-splines are

$$N_i = N(\cdot \mid t_i, ..., t_{i+k}), \qquad i = 1, ..., n.$$

# 3. THE VARIATION-DIMINISHING PROPERTY OF BERNSTEIN-SCHOENBERG OPERATORS

For k > 1 define a triangulation  $\Delta = \{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^n$  as in Section 2 and for i = 1, ..., n, define  $N_i, \xi_i$  as in (2.6), (2.9). Then we define the following spline operator  $V_{\Delta}$ , which we call a Bernstein–Schoenberg operator.

For any function f on [0, 1],

$$V_{\Delta}(f;x) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\xi_i) N_i(x), \quad \forall x \in [0,1].$$
 (3.1)

If  $\Delta$  is the usual triangulation,  $V_{\Delta}$  reduces to the operator  $V_k$  of Schoenberg (1.1). Clearly  $V_{\Delta}$  is a positive linear operator. It follows from (2.11) and (2.12) that  $V_{\Delta}$  reproduces polynomials of degree 1.

An important property of the operators  $V_k$  is that they are variationdiminishing, i.e., for any f,

$$S^{\perp}(V_k(f;\cdot)) \leqslant S^{\perp}(f). \tag{3.2}$$

where  $S^{-}$  denotes the number of strong sign changes in [0, 1], see [2, 13].

For a general triangulation  $\Delta$ , the operator  $V_{\Delta}$  need not be variationdiminishing as the following example shows. Let k = 2 and define  $\Delta = \{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^4$  by

$$\begin{split} \delta_1 &= \left[ (0,0), (0,1), \left(\frac{5}{8},0\right) \right], \\ \delta_2 &= \left[ (0,1), \left(\frac{5}{8},0\right), \left(\frac{3}{8},1\right) \right], \\ \delta_3 &= \left[ \left(\frac{5}{8},0\right), \left(\frac{3}{8},1\right), (1,0) \right], \\ \delta_4 &= \left[ \left(\frac{3}{8},1\right), (1,0), (1,1) \right]. \end{split}$$

If f is any function with S (f) = 1,  $f(0) = f(\frac{3}{8}) = 1$ ,  $f(\frac{5}{8}) = f(1) = -1$ , then it is easily seen that  $S^-(V_{\Delta}(f)) = 3$ .

However we do have the following result.

THEOREM 3.1. Let  $\Delta = \{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be a triangulation of  $[0, 1] \times \Delta_{k-1}$  satisfying

$$t_{ij} \leqslant t_{ik}, \qquad j = 0, ..., k, \qquad i = 1, ..., n,$$
 (3.3)

or

$$t_{i0} \leqslant t_{ij}, \qquad j = 0, ..., k, \qquad i = 1, ..., n.$$
 (3.4)

Then  $V_{\Delta}$  is variation-diminishing.

We note that this includes the case  $V_k$  because  $\Delta$  is the usual triangulation if and only if

$$t_{i0} \leq t_{ii} \leq t_{ik}, \qquad j = 0, ..., k, \qquad i = 1, ..., n.$$

The proof of Theorem 3.1 requires some subsidiary results and first we order the simplices of  $\Delta$  as follows (without yet imposing conditions (3.3) or (3.4)). Let  $\delta_1$  be the unique simplex containing the (k-1)-face  $\{0\} \times \Delta_{k-1}$ . There is then a unique simplex having a common (k-1)-face with  $\delta_1$  and this simplex is denoted by  $\delta_2$ . Continuing in this manner, suppose for some 1 < i < n we have defined  $\delta_1, ..., \delta_i$  so that for j = 1, ..., i - 1,  $\delta_j$  and  $\delta_{j+1}$  have a common (k-1)-face with  $\delta_i$ . One of these is  $\delta_{i+1}$  and the other we denote by  $\delta_{i+1}$ . This gives a unique ordering of  $\Delta$  and  $\delta_n$  is the unique simplex containing the (k-1)-face  $\{1\} \times \Delta_{k-1}$ .

Throughout the rest of this section we assume  $\Delta$  has this ordering. We note that for i = 2, ..., n,  $\delta_i$  contains precisely one vertex, namely,  $v_{ik}$ , not contained in any  $\delta_j$ , j < i. Thus the total number of vertices is (k+1) + (n-1) = n + k. We denote the projections of these vertices on [0, 1] by  $\mathbf{t} = \{t_i\}_{i=1}^{n+k}$ , where  $t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \cdots \leq t_{n+k}$ . Clearly  $t_1 = \cdots = t_k = 0$ ,  $t_{n+1} = \cdots = t_{n+k} = 1$  and if for any  $i, t_i = \cdots = t_{i+j}$ , then j < k. The usual *B*-

splines  $M(\cdot | t_i, ..., t_{i+k})$ , i = 1, ..., n, thus form a basis for the space  $\mathcal{S}(t)$  of all splines on [0, 1] with knots in t. Hence the *B*-splines  $N_1, ..., N_n$  form a basis for  $\mathcal{S}(t)$  if and only if they are linearly independently. They are not linearly independent in general as is seen by the following example.

Let k = 2 and define  $\Delta = \{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^4$  by

$$\begin{split} \delta_1 &= \left| (0,0), (0,1), \left(\frac{1}{2},0\right) \right|, \\ \delta_2 &= \left| \left(\frac{1}{2},0\right), (0,1), (1,0) \right|, \\ \delta_3 &= \left[ (0,1), (1,0), \left(\frac{1}{2},1\right) \right], \\ \delta_4 &= \left| \left(\frac{1}{2},1\right), (1,0), (1,1) \right|. \end{split}$$

Then, clearly  $N_2 = N_3$ .

However, we do have the following result.

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** Suppose the triangulation  $\Delta$  is such that all vertices projected onto the same point on [0, 1] lie in a common simplex in  $\Delta$ . Then  $N_1, ..., N_n$  are linearly independent.

*Proof.* For i = 1,...,n we shall prove by induction that  $N_1,...,N_i$  are linearly independent. Suppose then that for some  $1 < i \le n, N_1,...,N_{i-1}$  are linearly independent. Let S denote the set of vertices of  $\delta_1,...,\delta_i$  whose projections on [0, 1] equal  $t_{ik}$ . By assumption S comprises vertices of a common simplex, say,  $\delta_j$ . We cannot have j < i since  $v_{ik} \in S$  and  $v_{ik} \notin \delta_j$  for j < i. But if any element of S lies in  $\delta_j$  for j > i, then it also lies in  $\delta_i$ , by the nature of the ordering of  $\Delta$ . Thus S must comprise vertices of  $\delta_i$ .

Let *r* denote the cardinality of *S* and suppose that for some numbers  $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_i$ ,  $f := \lambda_1 N_1 + \cdots + \lambda_i N_i = 0$ . Then  $0 = f^{(k-r)}(t_{ik}^+) - f^{(k-r)}(t_{ik}^+) = \lambda_i \{N_i^{(k-r)}(t_{ik}^+) - N_i^{(k-r)}(t_{ik}^+)\}$  and hence  $\lambda_i = 0$ . So  $\lambda_1 N_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{i-1} N_{i-1} = 0$  and since  $N_1, ..., N_{i-1}$  are linearly independent,  $\lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_{i-1} = 0$ . Thus  $N_1, ..., N_i$  are linearly independent and the inductive step is complete.

COROLLARY. If  $\Delta$  satisfies (3.3) or (3.4), then  $N_1, ..., N_n$  are linearly independent.

**Proof.** First note that for i = 1, ..., n - 1 the (k - 1)-face in common to  $\delta_i$ and  $\delta_{i+1}$  is given by  $\{v_{ij}: j = 1, ..., k\}$  and  $\{v_{i+1j}: j = 0, ..., k - 1\}$ . We now suppose  $\varDelta$  satisfies (3.3) (the case (3.4) following similarly) and note that (3.3) is equivalent to the condition  $t_{1k} \leq t_{2k} \leq \cdots \leq t_{nk}$ .

Let S denote a set of vertices having the same projection on [0, 1]. We have to show the elements of S lie in a common simplex. If the projection is 0, then clearly  $S \subset \delta_1$ . Otherwise S is of the form  $\{v_{ik} : \alpha \leq i \leq \beta\}$  for some  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq n$ . We shall show by induction on j that for  $\alpha \leq j \leq \beta$ ,  $\{v_{ik} : \alpha \leq i \leq j\} \subset \delta_j$ . This is trivially true for  $j = \alpha$ . Suppose it is true for some j.

 $a \leq j < \beta$ . Then every element of  $\{v_{ik} : a \leq i \leq j\}$  is of the form  $v_{jl}$  for some l, and since the projection of  $v_{jl}$  on [0, 1] is  $t_{jk} > t_{j0}$ , we must have l > 0, and hence  $v_{jl} \in \delta_{j+1}$ . So  $\{v_{ik} : a \leq i \leq j \leq j+1\} \subset \delta_{j+1}$  and by induction  $S = \{v_{ik} : a \leq i \leq \beta\} \subset \delta_{\beta}$ .

Henceforth in this section we assume  $\Delta$  satisfies (3.3) or (3.4). We will prove the results for case (3.3), case (3.4) following similarly.

LEMMA 3.1. For any p, q with  $1 \le p \le p + q - 1 \le n$ , and for any points  $\tau_1 < \cdots < \tau_q$  in [0, 1],  $\det(N_{p-1+i}(\tau_j))_{i,j=1}^q \ge 0$ .

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on q and follows the ideas of de Boor [2]. Clearly the result is true for q = 1. Take  $1 < r \le n$  and suppose it is true for q = r - 1. Now choose any p with  $1 \le p \le p + r - 1 \le n$  and points  $\tau_1 < \cdots < \tau_r$  in [0, 1]. We must show det $(N_{p-1+i}(\tau_j))_{i,j=1}^r \ge 0$ .

By an earlier argument we know  $\delta_p, ..., \delta_{p+r-1}$  have a total of r + k distinct vertices. Denote the projections of these vertices on [0, 1] by  $\mathbf{s} = \{s_i\}_{i=1}^{r+k}$ , where  $s_1 \leq \cdots \leq s_{r+k}$ , and let  $\mathscr{T}(\mathbf{s})$  denote the space spanned by  $M_i := M(\cdot | s_i, ..., s_{i+k}), \quad i = 1, ..., r$ . By the corollary to Proposition 3.1,  $N_p, ..., N_{p+r-1}$  are linearly independent and so form a basis for  $\mathscr{T}(\mathbf{s})$ . It is well-known (see [1]) that  $\det(M_i(\tau_j))_{i,j-1}^r \neq 0$  iff  $s_i < \tau_i < s_{i+k}, \quad i = 1, ..., r$ . We may assume  $\det(N_{p-1+i}(\tau_j))_{i,j-1}^r \neq 0$  and hence that  $s_i < \tau_i < s_{i+k}, \quad i = 1, ..., r$ . It follows that  $\det(M_i(\tau_j))_{i,j-1}^r \neq 0$  and since  $N_p, ..., N_{p+r-2}$  span the same space as  $M_1, ..., M_{r-1}$ , we have by the induction hypothesis,  $\det(N_{p-1+i}(\tau_j))_{i,j-1}^{r-1} > 0$ .

Now for x in [0, 1] define  $f(x) = \det(N_{p-1+i}(\hat{\tau}_j))_{i,j-1}^r$ , where  $\hat{\tau}_j = \tau_j$ , j = 1, ..., r-1, and  $\hat{\tau}_r = x$ . Then f is a linear combination of  $N_p, ..., N_{p+r-1}$ . If  $s_{r+k}$  has multiplicity  $\alpha$  in s, then  $N_{p+r-1}^{(k-\alpha)}(s_{r+k}^-) \neq 0$  and  $N_i^{(k-\alpha)}(s_{r+k}^-) = 0$  for  $p \leq i < p+r-1$ . Thus in  $(s_{r+k} - \varepsilon, s_{r+k})$  for small enough  $\varepsilon > 0$ , f is dominated by the term involving  $N_{p+r-1}$  and so for x in  $(s_{r+k} - \varepsilon, s_{r+k}), f(x)$ has the same sign as the coefficient of  $N_{p+r-1}$ , namely,  $\det(N_{p-1+i}(\tau_j))_{i,j=1}^{r-1} > 0$ . But f(x) cannot vanish or change sign for x in  $[\tau_r, s_{r+k})$  and so  $f(\tau_r) > 0$ , i.e.,  $\det(N_{p-1+i}(\tau_i))_{i,j=1}^r > 0$ .

LEMMA 3.2. For any points  $\tau_1 < \cdots < \tau_n$  in [0, 1], the matrix  $||N_i(\tau_i)||_{i,i-1}^n$  is totally positive.

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 3.1 by applying the method of Karlin [6, p. 528].

**Proof** of Theorem 3.1. It follows easily from (3.3) or (3.4) that  $\xi_1 \leq \xi_2 \leq \cdots \leq \xi_n$ . But it is known [6] that for any totally positive matrix A and any vector x (of appropriate length), the vector Ax has no more sign changes than does x. The result then follows immediately from Lemma 3.2.

#### GOODMAN AND LEE

#### 4. CONVERGENCE OF BERNSTEIN-SCHOENBERG OPERATORS

In this section we assume k > 2. In [10] Marsden showed that  $V_k(f; \cdot) \to f$ uniformly on [0, 1] for all f in C[0, 1] if  $k^{-1}(\max \Delta t_i) \to 0$ . We now generalise this result to the operators  $V_{\Delta}$ .

THEOREM 4.1. For every f in C[0, 1],  $V_{\Delta}(f; \cdot) \rightarrow f$  uniformly on [0, 1] if  $(1/k) \max_{1 \le i \le n} d_i \rightarrow 0$ , where  $d_i = \max_i t_{ii} - \min_i t_{ii}$ .

*Proof.* Define g in C[0, 1] by  $g(x) = x^2$ . Since  $V_{\Delta}$  is a positive linear operator which reproduces polynomials of degree 1, it follows from the Bohman-Korovkin Theorem (see [7]) that  $V_{\Delta}(f; \cdot) \to f$  uniformly on [0, 1] for all f in C[0, 1] if  $V_{\Delta}(g; \cdot) \to g$  uniformly on [0, 1].

Now from (3.1) and (2.13) we have

$$V_{\Delta}(g;x) - g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\xi_i^2 - \xi_i^{(2)}\right) N_i(x).$$
(4.1)

A straightforward calculation shows that for i = 1, ..., n,

$$\xi_i^2 - \xi_i^{(2)} = \frac{1}{(k-1)^2 (k-2)} \sum_{0 \le l \le m \le k} (t_{im} - t_{il})^2$$

and thus  $0 \le \xi_i^2 - \xi_i^{(2)} \le d_i^2/2(k-1)$ .

So by (4.1) and (2.11) we have

$$0 \leqslant V_{\Delta}(g;x) - g(x) \leqslant \frac{1}{2(k-1)} \max_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} d_i^2, \qquad \forall x \in [0,1].$$

Hence  $V_{\Delta}(g; \cdot) \to g$  uniformly on [0, 1] if  $1/k \max_{1 \le i \le n} d_i \to 0$ .

Defining  $t = \{t_i\}_{i=1}^{n+k}$  as in Section 3, the conclusion of Theorem 4.1 need not be valid if we merely assume  $k^{-1}(\max_i \Delta t_i) \to 0$ . As a counterexample let k = 3 and take any points  $0 = t_1 = t_2 = t_3 < t_4 < \cdots < t_{n+1} = t_{n+2} = t_{n+3} = 1$ . Define  $\Delta = \{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^n$  by

$$\delta_{i} = [(t_{i+2}, 0, 0), (t_{1}, 1, 0), (t_{2}, 0, 1), (t_{i+3}, 0, 0)],$$

$$i = 1, \dots, n-2.$$

$$\delta_{n-1} = [(t_{1}, 1, 0), (t_{2}, 0, 1), (t_{n+1}, 0, 0), (t_{n+2}, 1, 0)],$$

$$\delta_{n} = [(t_{2}, 0, 1), (t_{n+1}, 0, 0), (t_{n+3}, 1, 0), (t_{n+3}, 0, 1)].$$

Then  $\xi_i = 0$ , i = 1, 2, ..., n - 2,  $\xi_{n-1} = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\xi_n = 1$ , and it is easy to see that for  $g(x) = x^2$ ,  $V_{\Delta}(g; \cdot) \rightarrow g$  on [0, 1] as max  $\Delta t_i \rightarrow 0$ .

### BERNSTEIN-SCHOENBERG SPLINE OPERATORS

### 5. TWO-DIMENSIONAL MARSDEN'S IDENTITY

For k > 2 let  $\Omega$  denote a polygon in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\Delta_{k-2}$  the standard (k-2)simplex in  $\mathbb{R}^{k-2}$  with vertices  $e^0, ..., e^{k-2}$  as in (2.3). Let  $\Delta = \{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be a triangulation of  $\Omega \times \Delta_{k-2} \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  such that each vertex lies on one of the faces  $\mathscr{F}_j = \{(x, e^j): x \in \Omega\}, j = 0, ..., k-2$ . For i = 1, ..., n we write  $\delta_i = [v^{i0}, ..., v^{ik}]$ as before and denote the projection of  $v^{ij}$  on  $\Omega$  by  $x^{ij} = (x_i^{ij}, x_j^{ij})$ .

Now for any simplex  $\delta_i$ , each face  $\mathcal{F}_j$ , j = 0, ..., k - 2, contains at least one of the vertices of  $\delta_i$  and hence there are two possibilities.

(i) There is one face containing three vertices of  $\delta_i$ . In this case we denote these vertices by  $v^{i0}$ ,  $v^{i1}$ ,  $v^{i2}$ .

(ii) There are two faces, each containing exactly two vertices of  $\delta_i$ . In this case we denote those on one face by  $v^{i0}$ ,  $v^{i1}$  and those on the other face by  $v^{i2}$ ,  $v^{i3}$ .

Now for i = 1, ..., n we define a normalised *B*-spline of degree k - 2 on  $\Omega$  by

$$N_i := (k-2)! M_{\delta_i}.$$
 (5.1)

By a calculation similar to that for (2.5), we find the following.

In case (i),  $\operatorname{vol}_k \delta_i = |\det(x^{i1} - x^{i0} x^{i2} - x^{i0})|/k!$ . In case (ii),  $\operatorname{vol}_k \delta_i = |\det(x^{i1} - x^{i0} x^{i3} - x^{i2})|/k!$ .

Thus by (5.1) and (2.2) we have the following.

In case (i),

$$N_i = \frac{1}{k(k-1)} \left| \det(x^{i1} - x^{i0} \ x^{i2} - x^{i0}) \right| M(\cdot \mid x^{i0}, ..., x^{ik}).$$
(5.2)

In case (ii),

$$N_i = \frac{1}{k(k-1)} \left| \det(x^{i1} - x^{i0} \ x^{i3} - x^{i2}) \right| M(\cdot | x^{i0}, ..., x^{ik}).$$
(5.3)

Now for i = 1, ..., n, j = 3, ..., k, we define  $z^{ij}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  as follows. If case (ii) holds for  $\delta_i$ , then  $z^{i3}$  is the point of intersection of the line  $x^{i0}x^{i1}$  and the line  $x^{i^2}x^{i3}$ . (These lines cannot be parallel or  $\delta_i$  would be degenerate.) In all other cases  $z^{ij} = x^{ij}$ . Then we have the following two-dimensional version of Marsden's identity.

THEOREM 5.1. For any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $x \in \Omega$ ,

$$(y_1 y_2 - y_2 x_1 - y_1 x_2)^{k-2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \prod_{j=3}^{k} (y_1 y_2 - y_2 z_1^{ij} - y_1 z_2^{ij}) \right\} N_i(x).$$
(5.4)

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we may assume  $\Omega \subset \{x \in \mathbb{H}^2 : x_1, x_2 \ge 0\}$ . For  $y_1, y \ge 1$  we let  $\Delta_k^y$  denote the k-simplex in  $\mathbb{H}^k$  with vertices  $(y_1, 0, e^0)$ ,  $(0, y_2, e^0)$ ,  $(0, 0, e^i)$ , i = 0, ..., k - 2. For large enough  $y_1, y_2, \Delta_k^y$  contains  $\Omega \times \{e^0\}$  and we let  $P := \{(x_1, ..., x_k) \in \Delta_k^y : (x_1, x_2) \in \Omega\}$ . A simple geometric argument shows that  $P = \{T_y v : v \in \Omega \times \Delta_{k-2}\}$ , where for  $(x, z) \in \Omega \times \Delta_{k-2}$ ,

$$T_y(x, z) = \left(x, \left(1 - \frac{x_1}{y_1} - \frac{x_2}{y_2}\right)z\right).$$

If  $\delta_i^y$  denotes the simplex  $[T_y v^{i0}, ..., T_y v^{ik}]$ , i = 1, ..., n, then for large enough  $y_1, y_2, \Delta^y := \{\delta_i^y\}_{i=1}^n$ , is a triangulation of P.

Now for i = 1, ..., n,

$$\operatorname{vol}_{k} \delta_{1}^{y} = \frac{1}{k!} \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} T_{y} v^{i0} & \cdots & T_{y} v^{ik} \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right|.$$
(5.5)

First suppose  $\delta_i$  satisfies (i). If  $v^{i0} \notin \mathcal{F}_0$ , let  $v^{il}$  denote the vertex of  $\delta_i$  lying in  $\mathcal{F}_0$ . A simplification of (5.5) then gives

$$\operatorname{vol}_{k} \delta_{i}^{y} = \frac{1}{k!} \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} x^{i0} & x^{i1} & x^{i2} & x^{il} \\ \alpha_{i0} & \alpha_{i1} & \alpha_{i2} & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right| \frac{1}{2 \leq j \leq k} \alpha_{ij}, \quad (5.6)$$

where  $a_{ij} = 1 - x_1^{ij} / y_1 - x_2^{ij} / y_2$ .

By manipulating the rows of the determinant in (5.6) we get

$$\operatorname{vol}_{k} \delta_{i}^{y} = \frac{1}{k!} \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} x^{i0} & x^{i1} & x^{i2} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right| \prod_{i=3}^{k} \alpha_{ii}.$$
(5.7)

If  $v^{i0} \in \mathbb{F}_0$ , then a simpler calculation also gives (5.7).

Next suppose  $\delta_i$  satisfies (ii). If  $v^{i0}$ ,  $v^{i2} \notin \mathscr{F}_0$ , then as before let  $v^{il}$  denote the vertex of  $\delta_i$  lying in  $\mathscr{F}_0$ . A simplification of (5.5) then gives

$$\operatorname{vol}_{k} \delta_{i}^{y} = \frac{1}{k!} \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} x^{i0} & x^{i1} & x^{i2} & x^{i3} & x^{il} \\ \alpha_{i0} & \alpha_{i1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_{i2} & \alpha_{i3} & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right|_{\substack{4 \le j \le k \\ j \ne l}} \alpha_{ij}$$

and a manipulation of rows gives

$$\operatorname{vol}_{k} \delta_{i}^{y} = \frac{1}{k!} \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} x^{i0} & x^{i1} & x^{i2} & x^{i3} \\ \alpha_{i0} & \alpha_{i1} & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right| \stackrel{k}{\underset{k=4}{\square}} \alpha_{ij}.$$
(5.8)

Now recall that  $z^{i3}$  is the point of intersection of the line  $x^{i0}x^{i1}$  with the line  $x^{i2}x^{i3}$  and so

$$z^{i3} = \frac{x^{i1} \det \begin{pmatrix} x^{i0} & x^{i2} & x^{i3} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - x^{i0} \det \begin{pmatrix} x^{i1} & x^{i2} & x^{i3} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}{\det(x^{i1} - x^{i0} & x^{i3} - x^{i2})}.$$
 (5.9)

Then expanding the determinant in (5.8) by its third row and applying (5.9) gives

$$\operatorname{vol}_{k} \delta_{i}^{y} = \frac{1}{k!} \operatorname{det}(x^{i1} - x^{i0} \ x^{i3} - x^{i2}) \left(1 - \frac{z_{1}^{i3}}{y_{1}} - \frac{z_{2}^{i3}}{y_{2}}\right) \prod_{j=4}^{k} \alpha_{ij}.$$
 (5.10)

If  $v^{i0} \in \mathscr{F}_0$  or  $v^{i2} \in \mathscr{F}_0$ , a simpler calculation also gives (5.10). Now for each x in  $\Omega$ , the hyperplane  $\{(x_1,...,x_k): (x_1, x_2) = x\}$  intersects P in the (k-2)-simplex  $\sigma_x$  with vertices  $T_y(x, e^j), j = 0, ..., k-2$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{(k-2)!} \left(1 - \frac{x_1}{y_1} - \frac{x^2}{y_2}\right)^{k-2} = \operatorname{vol}_{k-2}\sigma_x$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n M_{\delta_i^y}(x) \quad \text{by (2.1)}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{vol}_k \delta_i^y M(x \mid x^{i0}, \dots, x^{ik}) \quad \text{for (2.2)}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(k-2)!} \left\{ \prod_{j=3}^k \left(1 - \frac{z_1^{ij}}{y_1} - \frac{z_2^{ij}}{y_2}\right) \right\} N_i(x)$$

$$= \operatorname{by}(5.2), (5.3), (5.7), (5.10)$$

which gives (5.4).

COROLLARY. For k > 3 and i = 1, ..., n, let

$$\xi_{ij} = \frac{1}{k-2} \sum_{l=3}^{k} z_{j}^{il}, \qquad j = 1, 2,$$
(5.11)

$$\xi_{ij}^{(2)} = \frac{2}{(k-2)(k-3)} \sum_{3 \le l \le m \le k} z_j^{il} z_j^{im}, \qquad j = 1, 2,$$
(5.12)

$$\xi_i^{(2)} = \frac{1}{(k-2)(k-3)} \sum_{3 \leqslant l \neq m \leqslant k} z_1^{il} z_2^{im}.$$
(5.13)

Then for all x in  $\Omega$ ,

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_i(x), \tag{5.14}$$

$$x_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{ij} N_i(x), \qquad j = 1, 2, \tag{5.15}$$

$$x_j^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{ij}^{(2)} N_i(x), \qquad j = 1, 2,$$
 (5.16)

$$x_1 x_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i^{(2)} N_i(x).$$
(5.17)

*Proof.* In (5.4) equate coefficients of  $y_1^{k-2}y_2^{k-2}$ ,  $y_1^{k-3}y_2^{k-2}$ ,  $y_1^{k-2}y_2^{k-3}$ ,  $y_1^{k-2}y_2^{k-3}$ ,  $y_1^{k-2}y_2^{k-3}$ ,  $y_1^{k-2}y_2^{k-3}$ ,  $y_1^{k-2}y_2^{k-3}$ , respectively.

## 6. TWO-DIMENSIONAL BERNSTEIN-SCHOENBERG OPERATORS

As in Section 5 we take a polygon  $\Omega$  and for k > 2 define a triangulation  $\Delta = \{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . For i = 1, ..., n define  $N_i$  by (5.2), (5.3) and  $\xi_i = (\xi_{i1}, \xi_{i2})$  where  $\xi_{i1}, \xi_{i2}$  are defined by (5.11). Then we define the Bernstein-Schoenberg operator  $V_{\Delta}$  as follows.

For any function f on  $\Omega$ ,

$$V_{\Delta}(f;x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\xi_i) N_i(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega.$$
(6.1)

Clearly  $V_{\Delta}$  is a positive linear operator. It follows from (5.14) and (5.15) that  $V_{\Delta}$  reproduces polynomials of degree 1. We now assume k > 3 and give a two-dimensional version of Theorem 4.1.

THEOREM 6.1. Suppose that for each triangulation  $\Delta$  and for each simplex  $\delta_i \in \Delta$  satisfying (ii) of Section 5, the line  $x^{i0}x^{i1}$  and the line  $x^{i2}x^{i3}$  intersect in supp  $N_i$ . Then for every  $f \in C(\Omega)$ ,  $V_{\Delta}(f: \cdot) \to f$  uniformly on  $\Omega$  if  $(1/k) \max_{1 \le i \le n} d_i \to 0$ , where  $d_i = \text{diam}(\text{supp } N_i)$ .

**Proof.** By the Bohman-Korovkin Theorem, it is sufficient to show that  $V_{\Delta}(f; \cdot) \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $\Omega$  for  $f = g_1, g_2$  and h, where  $g_1(x) = x_1^2$ ,  $g_2(x) = x_2^2$  and  $h(x) = x_1 x_2$ .

Now from (6.1) and (5.16) we have for j = 1, 2

$$V_{\Delta}(g_j; x) - g_j(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\xi_{ij}^2 - \xi_{ij}^{(2)}\right) N_i(x).$$
(6.2)

A straightforward calculation shows that for i = 1, ..., n,

$$\xi_{ij}^2 - \xi_{ij}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{(k-2)^2(k-3)} \sum_{3 \le l \le m \le k} (z_j^{im} - z_j^{il})^2$$

and thus  $0 \leq \xi_j^2 - \xi_{ij}^{(2)} \leq d_i^2/2(k-2)$ . So by (6.2) and (5.14) we have

$$0 \leqslant V_{\Delta}(g_j; x) - g_j(x) \leqslant \frac{1}{2(k-2)} \max_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} d_i^2, \qquad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

Also from (6.1) and (5.17) we have

$$V_{\Delta}(h;x) - h(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\xi_{i1}\xi_{i2} - \xi_{i}^{(2)}\right) N_{i}(x).$$
(6.3)

Now a straightforward calculation shows that for i = 1, ..., n,

$$\xi_{i1}\xi_{i2} - \xi_{i}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{(k-2)^{2}(k-3)} \sum_{l,m=3}^{n} z_{l}^{il}(z_{2}^{il} - z_{2}^{im})$$

and thus  $|\xi_{i1}\xi_{i2} - \xi_i^{(2)}| \leq Kd_i/(k-2)$ , where K depends only on  $\Omega$ . So by (6.3) and (5.14) we have

$$|V_{\Delta}(h;x)-h(x)| \leq \frac{K}{k-2} \max_{1\leq i\leq n} d_i.$$

Hence  $V_{\Delta}(h; \cdot) \rightarrow h$  uniformly on  $\Omega$  if  $(1/k) \max_{1 \le i \le n} d_i \rightarrow 0$ .

# 7. Bernstein Polynomials

Let  $x^0 = (0, 0), x^1 = (1, 0), x^2 = (0, 1)$  and  $\Omega$  be the triangle  $[x^0, x^1, x^2]$ . For k > 2 we define a triangulation

$$\begin{split} \Delta &= \{ \delta_{ij} : i, j \ge 0, i+j \le k-2 \} \quad \text{of } \Omega \times \Delta_{k-2} \text{ by} \\ \delta_{ij} &= [(1,0,e^0), (1,0,e^1), \dots, (1,0,e^i), (0,1,e^i), \\ (0,1,e^{i+1}), \dots, (0,1,e^{i+j}), (0,0,e^{i+j}), (0,0,e^{i+j+1}), \\ \dots, (0,0,e^{k-2}) ]. \end{split}$$

By (5.2) and (5.3), the normalised *B*-spline corresponding to the simplex  $\delta_{ii}$  is given by

$$N_{ij} = \frac{1}{k(k-1)} M(\cdot \mid \underbrace{x^{0}, ..., x^{0}}_{k-1-i}, \underbrace{x^{1}, ..., x^{1}}_{j+1}, \underbrace{x^{2}, ..., x^{2}}_{j+1}).$$
(7.1)

Now it follows from a formula of Micchelli (Corollary 2 of [12]) that

$$M(\cdot | \underbrace{x_{i-1}^{0}, \ldots, x_{j-1}^{0}, x_{i+1}^{1}, \ldots, x_{j+1}^{1}, x_{j+1}^{2}, \ldots, x_{j}^{2}}_{(i+1)}) = \frac{k!}{(k-2-i-j)! \, i! j!} x_{1}^{i} x_{2}^{j} (1-x_{1}-x_{2})^{k-2-i-j}.$$
(7.2)

So by (7.1), (7.2) and (6.1), the Bernstein–Schoenberg operator is given by

$$V_{\Delta}(f;x) = \sum_{\substack{i,j \ge 0\\i+j \le k-2}} f\left(\frac{i}{k-2}, \frac{j}{k-2}\right) \binom{k-2}{i} \binom{k-2-i}{j} \times x_1^i x_2^j (1-x_1-x_2)^{k-2-i-j},$$
(7.3)

and therefore comprises Bernstein polynomials (see [8]).

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We are grateful to Professor de Boor for many suggestions for the improvement of the paper. The second-named author also wishes to thank Professors A. Sharma and W. Dahmen for useful discussions concerning multivariate *B*-splines during his visit to the University of Alberta. Edmonton. December 1979 to March 1980.

#### References

- C. DE BOOR. Splines as linear combinations of *B*-splines, *in* "Approximation Theory, II" (G. G. Lorentz, C. K. Chui, and L. L. Schumaker, Eds.), pp. 1–47, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- 2. C. DE BOOR, Total Positivity of the spline collocation matrix, *Indiana Univ. J. Math.* 25 (1976), 541-551.
- 3. H. B. CURRY AND I. J. SCHOENBERG, On Polya frequency function. IV. The fundamental spline functions and their limits. J. Analyse Math. 17 (1966), 71–107.
- 4. W. DAHMEN, Polynomials as linear combinations of multivariate *B*-splines, *Math. Z.* 169 (1979), 93–98.
- 5. W. DAHMEN, On multivariate B-splines, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 17 (1980), 179-191.
- 6. S. KARLIN, "Total Positivity," Standford Univ. Press, Stanford, Calif., 1968.
- 7. P. P. KOROVKIN, "Linear Operators and Approximation Theory," Hindustan Publishing Corp. 1960. [English translation]
- 8. G. G. LORENTZ, Bernstein polynomials, in "Mathematical Expositions No. 8," Univ. of Toronto Press, Toronto, 1953.
- 9. M. J. MARSDEN AND I. J. SCHOENBERG, On variation diminishing spline approximation methods. *Mathematica (Cluj)* 8 (1966), 61-82.
- 10. M. J. MARSDEN, An identity for spline functions with applications to variation diminishing spline approximation. J. Approx. Theory 3 (1970), 7-49.

- 11. C. A. MICCHELLI, "A Constructive Approach to Kergin Interpolation in  $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ : Multivariate *B*-Splines and Lagrange Interpolation," MRC Tech. Summary Report #1895, 1978. Univ. of Wisconsin, Madison.
- C. A. MICCHELLI, On a numerically efficient method for computing multivariate Bsplines, *in* "Multivariate Approximation Theory" (W. Schempp and K. Zeller, Eds.), pp. 211–248, Birkhaüser, Basel, 1979.
- 13. I. J. SCHOENBERG. On spline functions, *in* "Inequalities: Proceedings of a Symposium" (O. Shisha, Ed.), pp. 255–291, Academic Press, New York, 1967.